AMENDMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.116 Attorney Docket No.: Q80941

Application No.: 10/817,153

REMARKS

Formal Matters

Claims 1 and 3-13 constitute all currently pending claims in the application. The

Examiner incorrectly indicates that canceled claim 2 is pending and rejected on the Form PTOL-

326. Applicant respectfully requests a corrected indication of claim status in the next PTO

The Examiner does not indicate that the drawings filed with the application on

communication.

August 18, 2004 have been accepted. Applicant respectfully requests an indication of

acceptance of the drawings in the next PTO communication.

Claim Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 103

Claims 1 and 3-13 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as allegedly being

unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,637,853 to Ahne et al. ("Ahne") in view of U.S. Patent No.

6,082,911 to Murakami ("Murakami"). Applicant traverses this rejection for at least the

following reasons.

Independent claims 1 and 8-12 are amended to require that the print head is returned to

the reference position after printing the first reference pattern, and that the print head is moved

from the reference position to a position for printing a second reference pattern. Ahne fails to

teach or suggest these elements of amended claims 1 and 8-12.

Moreover, Murakami does not appear to make up for these deficiencies of Ahne, as

Murakami is cited merely for its alleged teaching of (1) printing a reference pattern while a set

amount is changed; (2) correcting a print start position of the print head with a correction amount

determined according to a relationship between the first and second reference patterns; and (3)

8

AMENDMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.116 Attorney Docket No.: Q80941

Application No.: 10/817,153

correcting a print start position in accordance with a set amount of a line segment closest to the

other reference pattern.

Thus, Ahne and Murakami, alone or in combination, do not appear to teach or suggest

each and every element of claims 1 and 8-12. The cited references, therefore, fail to render

claims 1 and 8-12 unpatentable. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner

withdraw the rejection of independent claims 1 and 8-12, and their dependent claims 3-7 and 13.

Conclusion

In view of the above, reconsideration and allowance of this application are now believed

to be in order, and such actions are hereby solicited. If any points remain in issue which the

Examiner feels may be best resolved through a personal or telephone interview, the Examiner is

kindly invited to contact the undersigned attorney at the telephone number listed below.

Applicant herewith petitions the Director of the USPTO to extend the time for reply to

the above-identified Office Action for an appropriate length of time if necessary. Unless a check

is attached, any fee due under 37 U.S.C. § 1.17(a) is being paid via the USPTO Electronic Filing

System (EFS). The USPTO is also directed and authorized to charge all required fees, except for

the Issue Fee and the Publication Fee, to Deposit Account No. 19-4880. Please also credit any

overpayments to said Deposit Account.

Respectfully submitted,

Registration No. 39,234

Kelly G. Hyndman

/Kelly G. Hyndman 39,234/

SUGHRUE MION, PLLC Telephone: (202) 293-7060

Facsimile: (202) 293-7860

WASHINGTON OFFICE 23373 CUSTOMER NUMBER

Date: June 5, 2007

9